

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/807,595 | 03/24/2004 | Raymond A. Lia | 702-105 | 3628 |
| 20874 | 7590 11/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET | | | SMITH, PHILIP ROBERT | |
| SUITE 400 | JALINA STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SYRACUSE, | NY 13202 | | 3739 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 | () |
|---|----|
| 4 | ~ |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/807,595 | LIA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Philip R. Smith | 3739 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY | 'IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 N | 1ONTH(S) FROM | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w | within the statutory minimum of thir | ty (30) days will be considered time | | | | |
| Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | cause the application to become Al | BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ; | | | | |
| Status | | | . • | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma | arch 2004. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | • | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | ters, prosecution as to th | ne merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Diamonition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | , : : | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement | | | | | |
| 5/23 - 5-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | . • | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ſ | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached | d Office Action or form P | PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | • | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 | \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f). | . • | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | : | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in A | Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been | received in this Nationa | ıl Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | , , , , | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | 4 | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | nformal Patent Application (P) | ГО-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/807,595

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

- [01] Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - [01a] I.Claims 1-14, drawn to a method for calibrating a remote viewing device, classified in class 600, subclass 149.
 - [01b] II. Claims 15-31, drawn to a remote viewing device, classified in class 600, subclass 146.
- [02] Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the remote viewing device of group II may be used for a materially different process, such as remotely viewing a surgical location.
- [03] A serious burden to the examiner exists in that the method of calibration in group I is applicable to any instrument comprising a flexible tube.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,595

Art Unit: 3739

Election of Species

- [04] This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of mounting and adjustment system:
 - [04a] The mounting and adjustment system 100 of Figure 2A.
 - [04b] The mounting and adjustment system 100' of Figure 2B.
 - [04c] The mounting and adjustment system 100" of Figure 2C.
 - [04d] Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- [05] Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of recalibration caps:
 - [05a] The recalibration cap 200a of Figure 3C.
 - [05b] The recalibration cap 200b of Figure 3D.
 - [05c] Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an

Application/Control Number: 10/807,595

Art Unit: 3739

election.

- [06] Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- [07] Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

- [08] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip R. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272 6087 and whose email address is philip smith@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:00am and 5:00pm.
- [09] If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272 4764.
- [10] Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Art Unit: 3739

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

[11] prs

John P. Leubecker
Primary Examiner